

A Height Inequality

Yuhan Zha
yzha@math.ac.cn

April 6, 2010

Abstract

We introduce a notion of quasi arithmetic differentials with similar properties as the traditional differential forms. And we prove a height inequality in both number field case and function field case by the construction of quasi arithmetic differentials with same method.

1 Introduction

Let R be the ring of integers of a number field F , and $Y = \text{Spec} R$. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a stable family of curves of genus $g > 1$ over Y . Let

$$d_Y = \frac{1}{[F : \mathbb{Q}]} \log \mathbb{D}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbb{D}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}$ is the absolute value of the discriminant of the number field F . For closed point $y \in Y$, let Y_y be the localization of Y at y , let $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ be the localization of $\mathcal{O}_Y$ at y . Let $\omega_{X/Y}$ be the canonical dualizing sheaf [L1] endowed with the canonical Hermitian metric. For a Weil divisor D on X , let $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ be the canonical line bundle associated with D endowed with the canonical Hermitian metric [L1] on X . Let $\omega_{X/Y} \cdot D$ be the product of the first arithmetic Chern classes of $\omega_{X/Y}$ and $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$. For an irreducible arithmetic curve D , which is finite over Y , let F_D be the rational function field of D , let

$$d(D) = \frac{1}{[F_D : \mathbb{Q}]} \log \mathbb{D}_{F_D/\mathbb{Q}} \quad (2)$$

We will prove the following Theorem:

Theorem 1.1 *Assume $D \subset X$ is an irreducible algebraic curve on X , and the natural morphism $D \rightarrow Y$ is finite. Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{(1 + \varepsilon)[F_D : \mathbb{Q}]} D \cdot \omega_{X/Y} \leq (4g - 4)d(D) + \frac{\omega_{X/Y}^2}{(2g - 2)[F : \mathbb{Q}]} + O(1) \quad (3)$$

where $O(1)$ is a constant determined by $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $[F_D : \mathbb{Q}]$ and ε .

The constant $O(1)$ in the Theorem above can be taken from a continuous function defined on the moduli space of compact Riemann surfaces. **Theorem 1.1** implies a number of conjectures in number theory, which are demonstrated in [V1][V2].

To prove **Theorem 1.1**, we first introduce a notion of quasi arithmetic differentials defined on X . Then we consider the case that $D = E_P$, which is rational over Y . By the construction of quasi arithmetic differentials, we will construct a nonzero element in

$$R^0 \pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}(E_P)) \otimes \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes -k}|_{E_P} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0) \quad (4)$$

where $k \gg 0$, and C_0 is a divisor on Y whose degree is bounded by a constant determined by $k[F : \mathbb{Q}]d_Y$ and g . This will prove **Theorem 1.1** for $D = E_P$. Then we prove **Theorem 1.1** by taking a base extension. The sketch of the proof is the following:

Let ξ be a non zero element of $R^0 \pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1}) \otimes F$, where $k \gg 0$. Let D_ξ be the divisor determined by $\xi = 0$ on $X \otimes F$. Then there is a natural inner product $\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle$ for all θ_1, θ_2 in

$$R^0 \pi_*(X \otimes F, \omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes k+1} / \omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes k+1}(-D_\xi)) \quad (5)$$

defined by the residue of $\frac{\theta_1 \theta_2}{\xi}$ along D_ξ over $\text{Spec} F$. Let $N = (2k + 1)(g - 1)$. Let $\{\eta_i\}$, where $1 \leq i \leq N$, be a set of basis of $R^0 \pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}) \otimes F$ over F . We have $\langle \eta_i, \eta_j \rangle = 0$ for all i, j . We will prove that there exists a set of elements $\{\eta'_i\}$ in (5) for $1 \leq i \leq N$, such that $\langle \eta'_i, \eta_j \rangle = 2\delta_{ij}$ and $\langle \eta'_i, \eta'_j \rangle = 0$ for all i, j , where $\delta_{ij} = 0$ when $i \neq j$ and $\delta_{ij} = 1$ when $i = j$. A choice of quasi arithmetic differentials $L(\xi)$ defined on ξ is defined to be

$$L(\xi) = \sum_i \eta'_i \otimes \eta_i \quad (6)$$

We have the difference between two choices of quasi arithmetic differentials defined on ξ is an element in $\wedge^2 R^0 \pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}) \otimes F$. We let $\eta_i^*(L(\xi)) = \eta'_i$, where $\{\eta_i^*\}$ is the dual basis of $\{\eta_i\}$ in the dual vector space $R^0 \pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})^\vee \otimes F$.

Let ξ_j be elements in $R^0 \pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1}) \otimes F$ that do not have common zeros with each other as sections of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1}$ on $X_{\mathbb{C}}$, where $j = 1, 2, 3$. Let D_{ξ_j} be the divisor determined by $\xi_j = 0$ as a section of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1} \otimes F$ on $X \otimes F$. For choices of quasi arithmetic differentials $L(\xi_j)$ where $j = 1, 2, 3$, we will prove that there exists a unique element $\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2))$ in $R^0 \pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 3k+2}) \otimes F$ such that the image of $\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2))$ in

$$R^0 \pi_*(X \otimes F, \omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes 3k+2} / \omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes 3k+2}(-D_{\xi_1})) \quad (7)$$

is $\xi_2 \eta_i^*(L(\xi_1))$ and the image of $\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2))$ in

$$R^0 \pi_*(X \otimes F, \omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes 3k+2} / \omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes 3k+2}(-D_{\xi_2})) \quad (8)$$

is $-\xi_1 \eta_i^*(L(\xi_2))$. And we will prove that

$$\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2)) = -\eta_i^*(L(\xi_2), L(\xi_1)) \quad (9)$$

$$\xi_3 \eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2)) + \xi_1 \eta_i^*(L(\xi_2), L(\xi_3)) + \xi_2 \eta_i^*(L(\xi_3), L(\xi_1)) = 0 \quad (10)$$

Then we will prove the linearity of $\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2))$ on its variables, and define $\alpha L(\xi_1) + \beta L(\xi_2)$ as a choice of quasi arithmetic differentials defined on $\alpha \xi_1 + \beta \xi_2$ for $\alpha, \beta \in F$. We will prove that the addition of quasi arithmetic differentials is commutative and associative. With linearity of the quasi arithmetic differentials, we will be able to define $\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2))$ for the case that ξ_1 and ξ_2 may have common zeros on $X_{\mathbb{C}}$. For any closed point $y \in Y$ and non zero element ξ_y in $R^0 \pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$, there exists choices of quasi arithmetic differentials $L_y(\xi_y)$ that are regular over y . Note $L(\xi_i)$ is an arithmetic analog of classical differential forms on complex manifolds, and $\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2))$ is an arithmetic analog of exterior product of differential forms. And they satisfy all the analog properties of differential forms.

We construct a choice of quasi arithmetic differentials in the following method: Let $\sigma : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a complex embedding. Let $\mathcal{A}^{i,j}(X_\sigma)$ be the sheaf of smooth (i, j) forms on X_σ . Note

$$R^0 \pi_*(X_\sigma, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})^\vee = R^1 \pi_*(X_\sigma, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes -k}) \quad (11)$$

So there exists a smooth section $\omega_{\eta_i^*}$ of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes -k} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{0,1}(X_\sigma)$ whose natural image in $R^0\pi_*(X_\sigma, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})^\vee$ is η_i^* . Then there exists a unique smooth section $\eta_{i,\xi}^*$ of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}$ over X_σ , such that

$$\bar{\partial}\eta_{i,\xi}^* = \xi\omega_{\eta_i^*} \quad (12)$$

$$\int_{X_\sigma} \eta_{i,\xi}^* \wedge \omega_{\eta_j^*} = 0 \quad (13)$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq N$. Then the restriction of

$$L^\circ(\xi) = \sum_i \eta_{i,\xi}^* \otimes \eta_i \quad (14)$$

to D_ξ is a choice of quasi arithmetic differentials defined on D_ξ , and

$$\eta_i^*(L^\circ(\xi_1), L^\circ(\xi_2)) = \xi_2 \eta_{i,\xi_1}^* - \xi_1 \eta_{i,\xi_2}^* \quad (15)$$

Now we prove **Theorem 1.1** for $D = E_P$ in function field case. Let Y be a smooth curve over complex numbers with genus $g \geq 2$. Assume $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth morphism. We choose divisors C'_i, C_0 on Y and a set of elements $\{\eta_i\}$ in $H^0(Y, R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C'_i))$, where $1 \leq i \leq N$, such that $\{\eta_i\}$ is a set of basis of $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}) \otimes F$ over F , and η_i^* are elements in

$$H^0(Y, R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-C'_i + C_0)) \quad (16)$$

and

$$\deg(C_0) \leq (2k+1)(g-1)(4q-2) \quad (17)$$

By partition of unity, we can choose smooth sections $\omega_{\eta_i^*}$ of

$$\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes -k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-C'_i + C_0) \otimes \mathcal{A}^{0,1}(X) \quad (18)$$

such that $\omega_{\eta_i^*} = 0$ on a small open set of X containing E_P . Let $\eta_{i,\xi}^*$ be the unique smooth section of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}$ on X constructed from $\omega_{\eta_i^*}$ by the method above. Let

$$\zeta(\eta_i^*, L^\circ(\xi)) = \eta_{i,\xi}^* \quad (19)$$

on X .

Let $\pi_1 : X \times_Y X \rightarrow X$ be the morphism that maps (z_1, z_2) to z_1 . Let $\pi_2 : X \times_Y X \rightarrow X$ be the morphism that maps (z_1, z_2) to z_2 . Let

$\pi_3 : X \times_Y X \longrightarrow Y$ be the natural morphism. Let $\Delta_X \subset X \times_Y X$ be the image of the diagonal map. Let C_5''' be a divisor on Y with large degree. Let ξ_5 be a nonzero element in

$$H^0(X \times_Y X, \pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1} \otimes \pi_2^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 3} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_5''')) \quad (20)$$

that vanishes along Δ_X with order 1 as a section of

$$\pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1} \otimes \pi_2^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 3} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_5''') \quad (21)$$

on $X \times_Y X$. Let D'_{ξ_5} be the divisor determined by $\xi_5 = 0$ as a section of $\pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1} \otimes \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 3} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_5''')$ on $X \times_Y X$. Then

$$D'_{\xi_5} = \Delta_X + D_{\xi_5} \quad (22)$$

where D_{ξ_5} is a divisor on $X \times_Y X$.

Let

$$\tau^*(\xi_5) = \sum_i \zeta \left(\eta_i^*, L^\circ \left(\frac{\xi_5}{\pi_2^* \nu} \right) \right) \cdot \pi_2^*(\eta_i \nu) \quad (23)$$

where ν is a section of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 3}(C_5''')$ on X . We will prove that the restriction of $\tau^*(\xi_5)$ to D'_{ξ_5} and $\pi_1^{-1}E_P$ is a holomorphic section. And the restriction of $\tau^*(\xi_5)$ to D_{ξ_5} is a holomorphic section u of

$$R^0 \pi_{2*}(X \times_Y X, \pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1} \otimes \pi_2^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+4}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_5''' + C_0) \quad (24)$$

on X . Then we prove that the restriction of

$$\tau_0^*(\xi_5) = \tau^*(\xi_5) - u \quad (25)$$

to $\pi_1^{-1}E_P$ is a nonzero holomorphic section of

$$\pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1} \otimes \pi_2^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+4}(-D_{\xi_5}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_5''' + C_0) \quad (26)$$

over $\pi_1^{-1}E_P$. So $\frac{\tau_0^*(\xi_5)}{\xi_5}$ gives a nonzero element in

$$H^0(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}(E_P) \otimes (\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes -k}|_{E_P}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0)) \quad (27)$$

Then by (17) and **Lemma 4.1**, we prove our Theorem.

In number field case, the proof is similar. By choosing $\omega_{\eta_i^*}$ and ξ_5 suitably, we can prove the following:

Lemma 1.2 *Let s be an element in*

$$R^0\pi_{3*}(X \times_Y X, (\pi_1^*\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1} \otimes \pi_2^*\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+4})/(\pi_1^*\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1} \otimes \pi_2^*\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+4}(-D_{\xi'_5}))) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0) \quad (28)$$

such that for all $1 \leq i \leq N$, we have

$$\text{Res}_{D'_{\xi_5}} \frac{s \cdot \eta_i}{\xi_5} = 2\eta_i \quad (29)$$

where the residue in (29) is taken with respect to morphism $\pi_2 : D'_{\xi_5} \rightarrow X$. Then there exists an element s' in

$$R^0\pi_{3*}(X \times_Y X, (\pi_1^*\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1} \otimes \pi_2^*\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+4})/(\pi_1^*\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1} \otimes \pi_2^*\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+4}(-D'_{\xi_5} - \pi_1^{-1}E_P))) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0) \quad (30)$$

which is determined by the choice of $\omega_{\eta_i^}$, such that $\log \|s'\| \leq \log \|s\| + O(1)$, and $s' = s$ in (28).*

We use the choice of $\omega_{\eta_i^*}$ and ξ_5 that are taken to construct s' above to replace the choice of $\omega_{\eta_i^*}$ and ξ_5 in function field case. Then we follow the method in function field case to prove the Theorem in number field case.

In function field case over complex numbers, by the existence of $\omega_{\eta_i^*}$, we can prove the following: Let

$$M'_1 = \pi_*(\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}/\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}(-D_{\xi}))/R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}) \quad (31)$$

$$M'_2 = \pi_*(\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}/\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}(-D_{\xi} - E_P))/R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}) \quad (32)$$

The natural morphism

$$H^0(Y, M'_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0 - C'_i)) \rightarrow H^0(Y, M'_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0 - C'_i)) \quad (33)$$

is surjective. And this statement is not true in function field case of characteristic $p > 0$. This is consistent with the fact that **Theorem 1.1** is not true in case of characteristic $p > 0$.

In Section 2, we give the construction of quasi arithmetic differentials. In Section 3, we give the proof of the main Theorem in number field case. In Section 4, we give the proof of the main Theorem in function field case. One can read Section 4 first, then read Section 3, because the proof in function field case is simpler.

2 Quasi arithmetic differentials

If $\sigma : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a complex imbedding, let $X_\sigma = X \otimes_\sigma \mathbb{C}$. If $D_\sigma \subset X_\sigma$ is a divisor, let the support of D_σ on X_σ be the set of points where $D_\sigma \neq 0$, and we denote it by $\text{Supp} D_\sigma$. Assume ω is a rational section of $\omega_{X_\sigma/\text{Spec} \mathbb{C}}$ on X_σ . For point $x \in \text{Supp} D_\sigma$, let $U_x \subset X_\sigma$ be a sufficiently small disc containing point x . We let the residue

$$\text{Res}_{D_\sigma} \omega = \sum_{x_i \in \text{Supp} D_\sigma} \int_{\partial U_{x_i}} \omega \quad (34)$$

Let $\xi \in R^0 \pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1}) \otimes F$ be a nonzero element, where $k \gg 0$. Let D_ξ be the divisor determined by $\xi = 0$ as a section of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1} \otimes F$ on $X \otimes F$. Then we have a canonical inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ defined on

$$R^0 \pi_*(X \otimes F, \omega_{X \otimes F/\text{Spec} F}^{\otimes k+1} / \omega_{X \otimes F/\text{Spec} F}^{\otimes k+1}(-D_\xi)) \quad (35)$$

such that for all θ_1, θ_2 in (35), we have

$$\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle = \text{Res}_{D_\xi/\text{Spec} F} \frac{\theta_1 \theta_2}{\xi} \quad (36)$$

where $\theta_1 \theta_2$ in (36) denotes a rational section of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+2} \otimes F$ on $X \otimes F$ whose image in $\omega_{X \otimes F/\text{Spec} F}^{\otimes 2k+2} / \omega_{X \otimes F/\text{Spec} F}^{\otimes 2k+2}(-D_\xi)$ is $\theta_1 \theta_2$, and the residue $\text{Res}_{D_\xi/\text{Spec} F}$ is defined in (34). It is straight forward to check that the canonical inner product on (35) is non degenerate. And if $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in R^0 \pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}) \otimes F$, then

$$\sigma(\langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle) = \text{Res}_{D_{\xi\sigma}} \frac{\eta_1 \eta_2}{\xi} = - \int_{X_\sigma \setminus D_{\xi\sigma}} d \frac{\eta_1 \eta_2}{\xi} = 0 \quad (37)$$

Let $N = (2k+1)(g-1)$. Let $\delta_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $\delta_{ij} = 1$ for $i = j$. Let $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_N\}$ be a set of basis of $R^0 \pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}) \otimes F$.

Lemma 2.1 *There exists a set of elements $\{\eta'_1, \dots, \eta'_N\}$ in (35) such that, under the canonical inner product, we have the following:*

(i) *For all $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, we have*

$$\langle \eta'_i, \eta_j \rangle = 2\delta_{ij}$$

(ii) For all $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, we have

$$\langle \eta'_i, \eta'_j \rangle = 0$$

Moreover $\{\eta''_1, \dots, \eta''_N\}$ is another set of elements in (35) satisfying properties (i) (ii) above, if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \eta'_i \otimes \eta_i - \sum_{i=1}^N \eta''_i \otimes \eta_i = \sum_{i \neq j} \alpha_{ij} \eta_i \otimes \eta_j \quad (38)$$

where α_{ij} are elements in F and $\alpha_{ij} = -\alpha_{ji}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq N$.

Proof. Since we have exact sequence over $X \otimes F$,

$$0 \longrightarrow \omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes -k} \xrightarrow{\cdot \xi} \omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes k+1} \longrightarrow \omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes k+1} / \omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes k+1}(-D_\xi) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (39)$$

so we have an imbedding

$$R^0 \pi_*(X \otimes F, \omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes k+1}) \hookrightarrow R^0 \pi_*(X \otimes F, \omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes k+1} / \omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes k+1}(-D_\xi)) \quad (40)$$

Hence we can choose η'''_1 in (35) such that for all $1 \leq j \leq N$, we have

$$\langle \eta'''_1, \eta_j \rangle = \delta_{1j}$$

Assume $\langle \eta'''_1, \eta'''_1 \rangle = a_{11}$. Let $\eta'_1 = 2\eta'''_1 - a_{11}\eta_1$. Then $\langle \eta'_1, \eta'_1 \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \eta'_1, \eta_j \rangle = 2\delta_{1j}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq N$. In general, by induction, we choose η'''_i in (35) such that for all $1 \leq j \leq N$, we have

$$\langle \eta'''_i, \eta_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$$

Assume $\langle \eta'''_i, \eta'_j \rangle = a_{ij}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq i-1$, and $\langle \eta'''_i, \eta'''_i \rangle = a_{ii}$. Let

$$\eta'_i = 2\eta'''_i - a_{ii}\eta_i - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}\eta_j \quad (41)$$

Then we have $\langle \eta'_i, \eta_j \rangle = 2\delta_{ij}$ for $1 \leq j \leq N$ and $\langle \eta'_i, \eta'_j \rangle = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq i$. So there exists $\{\eta'_1, \dots, \eta'_N\}$ satisfying properties (i) (ii).

If $\{\eta''_1, \dots, \eta''_N\}$ is another set of elements satisfying properties (i) (ii), then $\eta'_i - \eta''_i$ vanishes at η_j for all $1 \leq j \leq N$. Since the rank of $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}) \otimes F$ over F is N , which is equal to the rank of the quotient of the morphism in (40) over F , so we have the submodule of (35) that vanishes on $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}) \otimes F$ under the canonical inner product is $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}) \otimes F$ itself. So

$$\eta'_i - \eta''_i = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_{ij} \eta_j \quad (42)$$

where α_{ij} are elements of F . Hence $\langle \eta''_i, \eta''_j \rangle = 0$ if and only if

$$\left\langle \eta'_i - \sum_{l=1}^N \alpha_{il} \eta_l, \eta'_j - \sum_{l=1}^N \alpha_{jl} \eta_l \right\rangle = 0 \quad (43)$$

i.e. $\alpha_{ij} = -\alpha_{ji}$. Hence our Lemma is true. $\square$

Definition 2.2 Let $\{\eta_i^*\}$ be the set of dual basis of $\{\eta_i\}$ in the dual vector space $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})^\vee \otimes F$. We say the set of elements $\{\eta'_1, \dots, \eta'_N\}$ in (35) that satisfy properties (i) (ii) in the Lemma above a choice of quasi arithmetic differentials defined on ξ . And we denote it by

$$L(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^N \eta'_j \otimes \eta_j \quad (44)$$

And we let $\eta_i^*(L(\xi)) = \eta'_i$.

Let ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 be elements of $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1}) \otimes F$ such that ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 do not have any common zeros on $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ with each other. Let D_{ξ_i} be the divisor determined by $\xi_i = 0$ on $X \otimes F$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Consider the following exact sequence over $X \otimes F$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1} \otimes F \xrightarrow{\cdot \xi_i} \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 3k+2} \otimes F \longrightarrow \omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes 3k+2} / \omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes 3k+2}(-D_{\xi_i}) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (45)$$

So we have exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}) \otimes F \xrightarrow{\cdot \xi_i} R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 3k+2}) \otimes F \\ &\longrightarrow R^0\pi_*(X \otimes F, \omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes 3k+2} / \omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes 3k+2}(-D_{\xi_i})) \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

For $i = 1, 2, 3$, let

$$L(\xi_i) = \sum_{j=1}^N \phi_{ij} \otimes \eta_j \quad (47)$$

be a choice of quasi arithmetic differentials defined on ξ_i . By (46), for all ϕ_{1j} , there exists $\phi'_{1j} \in R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 3k+2}) \otimes F$ whose image in

$$\omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes 3k+2} / \omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes 3k+2}(-D_{\xi_1}) \quad (48)$$

is $\xi_2 \phi_{1j}$. Since for all $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, we have

$$\text{Res}_{D_{\xi_1} / \text{Spec} F} \frac{\phi'_{1i} \eta_j}{\xi_1 \xi_2} = \text{Res}_{D_{\xi_1} / \text{Spec} F} \frac{\phi_{1i} \eta_j}{\xi_1} = 2\delta_{ij} \quad (49)$$

so

$$\text{Res}_{D_{\xi_2} / \text{Spec} F} \frac{\phi'_{1i} \eta_j}{\xi_1 \xi_2} = -2\delta_{ij} \quad (50)$$

Hence by the argument in front of (42), we have

$$\frac{\phi'_{1i}}{\xi_1} + \phi_{2i} = \sum_{j=1}^N \beta_{ij} \eta_j \quad (51)$$

in $\omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes k+1} / \omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes k+1}(-D_{\xi_2})$, where β_{ij} are elements of F . Let

$$\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2)) = \phi'_{1i} - \xi_1 \sum_{j=1}^N \beta_{ij} \eta_j \quad (52)$$

Then we have

$$\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2)) = \phi_{1i} \xi_2 \quad (53)$$

in (48), and

$$\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2)) = -\phi_{2i} \xi_1 \quad (54)$$

in

$$\omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes 3k+2} / \omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes 3k+2}(-D_{\xi_2}) \quad (55)$$

If ξ_{12i} is another element in $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 3k+2}) \otimes F$ whose image in (48) is $\xi_2 \phi_{1i}$ and image in (55) is $-\xi_1 \phi_{2i}$, then $\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2)) - \xi_{12i} = 0$ in (48) and (55). Since the degree of $D_{\xi_1} + D_{\xi_2}$ over $\text{Spec} F$ is $(4k+2)(2g-2)$, so

$$\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2)) - \xi_{12i} = 0 \quad (56)$$

on $X \otimes F$. So $\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2))$ is uniquely determined by (53) and (54).

Lemma 2.3 (i)

$$\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2)) = -\eta_i^*(L(\xi_2), L(\xi_1)) \quad (57)$$

(ii)

$$\xi_1 \cdot \eta_i^*(L(\xi_2), L(\xi_3)) + \xi_2 \cdot \eta_i^*(L(\xi_3), L(\xi_1)) + \xi_3 \cdot \eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2)) = 0 \quad (58)$$

Proof. (i) is clear. For (ii), let t be the left hand side of (58). Since the image of t in $\omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes 5k+3} / \omega_{X \otimes F / \text{Spec} F}^{\otimes 5k+3}(-D_{\xi_1})$ is

$$\xi_2 \cdot (-\xi_3 \phi_{1i}) + \xi_3 \cdot \xi_2 \phi_{1i} = 0 \quad (59)$$

So t vanishes along D_{ξ_1} . For the same reason, t vanishes along D_{ξ_2} and D_{ξ_3} . So t vanishes along $D_{\xi_1} + D_{\xi_2} + D_{\xi_3}$. Moreover the degree of $D_{\xi_1} + D_{\xi_2} + D_{\xi_3}$ is $(6k+3)(2g-2)$, and t is an element in $R^0 \pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 5k+3}) \otimes F$. So $t = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 2.4 Assume ξ_1 and ξ_2 do not have common zeros as sections of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ on $X_{\mathbb{C}}$, and $\alpha \in F$. Let

$$L(\xi_2 + \alpha \xi_1) = - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2))}{\xi_1} \otimes \eta_i \quad (60)$$

over $\xi_2 + \alpha \xi_1 = 0$ on $X \otimes F$. Then

(i) $L(\xi_2 + \alpha \xi_1)$ is a choice of quasi arithmetic differentials defined on $\xi_2 + \alpha \xi_1$.

(ii)

$$\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2)) = \eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2 + \alpha \xi_1)) \quad (61)$$

Proof. Let D_{α} be the divisor determined by $\xi_2 + \alpha \xi_1 = 0$ on $X \otimes F$. Then

$$\text{Res}_{D_{\xi_1} / \text{Spec} F} \frac{\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2)) \eta_j}{(\xi_2 + \alpha \xi_1) \xi_1} = \text{Res}_{D_{\xi_1} / \text{Spec} F} \frac{\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2)) \eta_j}{\xi_2 \xi_1} = 2\delta_{ij} \quad (62)$$

So

$$\text{Res}_{D_\alpha/\text{Spec}F} \frac{-\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2))\eta_j}{(\xi_2 + \alpha\xi_1)\xi_1} = \text{Res}_{D_{\xi_1}/\text{Spec}F} \frac{\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2))\eta_j}{(\xi_2 + \alpha\xi_1)\xi_1} = 2\delta_{ij} \quad (63)$$

$$\frac{1}{\xi_2 + \alpha\xi_1} - \frac{1}{\xi_2} = \frac{-\alpha\xi_1}{(\xi_2 + \alpha\xi_1)\xi_2} \quad (64)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Res}_{D_\alpha/\text{Spec}F} \frac{\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2))\eta_j^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2))}{(\xi_2 + \alpha\xi_1)\xi_1^2} \\ &= -\text{Res}_{D_{\xi_1}/\text{Spec}F} \frac{\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2))\eta_j^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2))}{(\xi_2 + \alpha\xi_1)\xi_1^2} \\ &= -\text{Res}_{D_{\xi_1}/\text{Spec}F} \frac{\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2))\eta_j^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2))}{\xi_2\xi_1^2} \\ &\quad + \text{Res}_{D_{\xi_1}/\text{Spec}F} \frac{\alpha\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2))\eta_j^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2))}{(\xi_2 + \alpha\xi_1)\xi_1\xi_2} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

Therefore (i) is true. Moreover the restriction of

$$\sum_i \frac{\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2))}{\xi_2 + \alpha\xi_1} \otimes \eta_i \quad (66)$$

to D_{ξ_1} is $L(\xi_1)$, so (ii) is true. $\square$

Corollary 2.5 *Assume $\alpha, \beta \in F$ are non zeros. Let*

$$L(\beta\xi_2 + \alpha\xi_1) = -\beta \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2))}{\xi_1} \otimes \eta_i \quad (67)$$

$$L'(\beta\xi_2 + \alpha\xi_1) = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2))}{\xi_2} \otimes \eta_i \quad (68)$$

over $\beta\xi_2 + \alpha\xi_1 = 0$ on $X \otimes F$. Then

(i) $L(\beta\xi_2 + \alpha\xi_1)$ is a choice of quasi arithmetic differentials defined on $\beta\xi_2 + \alpha\xi_1$.

(ii) For all $1 \leq i \leq N$, we have

$$\beta\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2)) = \eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\beta\xi_2 + \alpha\xi_1)) \quad (69)$$

(iii) $L'(\beta\xi_2 + \alpha\xi_1) = L(\beta\xi_2 + \alpha\xi_1)$ as a choice of quasi arithmetic differentials defined on $\beta\xi_2 + \alpha\xi_1$.

(iv) For all $1 \leq i \leq N$, we have

$$\alpha\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2)) = \eta_i^*(L(\beta\xi_2 + \alpha\xi_1), L(\xi_2)) \quad (70)$$

Proof. (i) is implied by **Lemma 2.4**, while replacing ξ_2 with $\beta\xi_2$. (iii) is true because $-\frac{\beta}{\xi_1} = \frac{\alpha}{\xi_2}$ over $\alpha\xi_1 + \beta\xi_2 = 0$. (ii) is true because

$$\frac{\sum_i \beta\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2)) \otimes \eta_i}{\xi_1} = -L(\beta\xi_2 + \alpha\xi_1) \quad (71)$$

over $\beta\xi_2 + \alpha\xi_1 = 0$, and

$$\frac{\sum_i \beta\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2)) \otimes \eta_i}{\beta\xi_2 + \alpha\xi_1} = L(\xi_1) \quad (72)$$

over $\xi_1 = 0$. (iv) is true for the same reason. $\square$

Definition 2.6 Assume $L(\xi_1)$ and $L(\xi_2)$ are quasi arithmetic differentials defined on ξ_1 and ξ_2 respectively. Assume $\alpha, \beta \in F$ are nonzero elements, and $\xi_1, \xi_2, \alpha\xi_1 + \beta\xi_2$ do not have common zeros with each other as sections of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ on $X_{\mathbb{C}}$. We let $\alpha L(\xi_1) + \beta L(\xi_2)$ be the choice of quasi arithmetic differentials $L(\alpha\xi_1 + \beta\xi_2)$ defined on $\alpha\xi_1 + \beta\xi_2$, where $L(\alpha\xi_1 + \beta\xi_2)$ is defined in (67).

Lemma 2.7 Let ξ_1, ξ_2 and ξ_3 be elements of $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1}) \otimes F$. Let $L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2)$ and $L(\xi_3)$ be choices of quasi arithmetic differentials defined on ξ_1, ξ_2 and ξ_3 respectively. Let $\alpha, \beta \in F$. Assume $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_1 + \xi_2, \xi_2 + \xi_3, \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3$ and $\alpha\xi_1 + \beta\xi_2$ do not have any common zeros with each other as sections of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ on $X_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then for all $1 \leq i \leq N$, we have

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} & \eta_i^*(\alpha L(\xi_1) + \beta L(\xi_2), L(\xi_3)) \\ &= \alpha \eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_3)) + \beta \eta_i^*(L(\xi_2), L(\xi_3)) \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

(ii) $L(\xi_1) + L(\xi_2) = L(\xi_2) + L(\xi_1)$.

(iii) $(L(\xi_1) + L(\xi_2)) + L(\xi_3) = L(\xi_1) + (L(\xi_2) + L(\xi_3))$.

Proof.

$$\frac{\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_3))}{\xi_1 \xi_3} - \frac{\eta_i^*(L(\xi_2), L(\xi_3))}{\xi_2 \xi_3} = \frac{\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2))}{\xi_1 \xi_2} \quad (74)$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha \eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_3)) + \beta \eta_i^*(L(\xi_2), L(\xi_3))}{\xi_3} \\ &= \frac{(\alpha \xi_1 + \beta \xi_2) \eta_i^*(L(\xi_2), L(\xi_3))}{\xi_2 \xi_3} + \frac{\alpha \eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2))}{\xi_2} \\ &= \frac{(\alpha \xi_1 + \beta \xi_2) \eta_i^*(L(\xi_2), L(\xi_3))}{\xi_2 \xi_3} + \frac{\eta_i^*(L(\alpha \xi_1 + \beta \xi_2), L(\xi_2))}{\xi_2} \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

Therefore

$$\sum_i \frac{\alpha \eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_3)) + \beta \eta_i^*(L(\xi_2), L(\xi_3))}{\xi_3} \otimes \eta_i = L(\alpha \xi_1 + \beta \xi_2) \quad (76)$$

over $\alpha \xi_1 + \beta \xi_2 = 0$. Moreover

$$\frac{\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_3))}{\xi_1} = \frac{\eta_i^*(L(\xi_2), L(\xi_3))}{\xi_2} \quad (77)$$

over $\xi_3 = 0$. So

$$\frac{\alpha \eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_3)) + \beta \eta_i^*(L(\xi_2), L(\xi_3))}{\alpha \xi_1 + \beta \xi_2} = \frac{\eta_i^*(L(\xi_2), L(\xi_3))}{\xi_2} \quad (78)$$

over $\xi_3 = 0$. Therefore

$$\sum_i \frac{\alpha \eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_3)) + \beta \eta_i^*(L(\xi_2), L(\xi_3))}{\alpha \xi_1 + \beta \xi_2} \otimes \eta_i = -L(\xi_3) \quad (79)$$

over $\xi_3 = 0$. Hence (i) is true.

Let ξ_4 be an element of $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1}) \otimes F$ such that ξ_4 does not have common zeros with $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots$ etc. Let $L(\xi_4)$ be a choice of quasi arithmetic differentials on ξ_4 . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \eta_i^*((L(\xi_1) + L(\xi_2)) + L(\xi_3), L(\xi_4)) \\
&= \eta_i^*(L(\xi_1) + L(\xi_2), L(\xi_4)) + \eta_i^*(L(\xi_3), L(\xi_4)) \\
&= \eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_4)) + \eta_i^*(L(\xi_2), L(\xi_4)) + \eta_i^*(L(\xi_3), L(\xi_4)) \\
&= \eta_i^*(L(\xi_1) + (L(\xi_2) + L(\xi_3)), L(\xi_4))
\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

So (iii) is true. And (ii) is true for the same reason. $\square$

Corollary 2.8 *Let ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 and ξ_4 be elements of $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1}) \otimes F$, such that $\xi_3, \xi_4, \xi_1 + \xi_3, \xi_1 + \xi_4$ and $\xi_1 + \xi_3 + \xi_4$ do not have common zeros with ξ_1, ξ_2 on $X_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2), L(\xi_3)$ and $L(\xi_4)$ be choices of quasi arithmetic differentials defined on ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 and ξ_4 respectively. Then for all $1 \leq i \leq N$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \eta_i^*(L(\xi_3) + L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2)) - \eta_i^*(L(\xi_3), L(\xi_2)) \\
&= \eta_i^*(L(\xi_4) + L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2)) - \eta_i^*(L(\xi_4), L(\xi_2))
\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \eta_i^*(L(\xi_3), L(\xi_2)) + \eta_i^*(L(\xi_4) + L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2)) \\
&= \eta_i^*(L(\xi_3) + L(\xi_4) + L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2)) \\
&= \eta_i^*(L(\xi_3) + L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2)) + \eta_i^*(L(\xi_4), L(\xi_2))
\end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

So our Corollary is true. $\square$

By **Corollary 2.8**, we can make the following definition:

Definition 2.9 *Assume ξ_1, ξ_2 are elements of $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1}) \otimes F$ that may have common zeros on $X_{\mathbb{C}}$. Assume $L(\xi_1)$ and $L(\xi_2)$ are choices of quasi arithmetic differentials defined on ξ_1 and ξ_2 respectively. Let ξ_3 be an element of $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1}) \otimes F$, such that ξ_3 and $\xi_1 + \xi_3$ do not have common zeros with ξ_1, ξ_2 as sections of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ on $X_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $L(\xi_3)$ be a choice of quasi arithmetic differentials. Then we define*

$$\eta_i^*(L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2)) = \eta_i^*(L(\xi_3) + L(\xi_1), L(\xi_2)) - \eta_i^*(L(\xi_3), L(\xi_2)) \tag{83}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq N$.

For closed point $y \in Y$, let ξ_y be a nonzero element in $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$. Let $\{\eta_{1y}, \dots, \eta_{Ny}\}$ be a set of basis of $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$. Assume the divisor determined by $\xi_y = 0$ on $X \times Y_y$ is $C_{\xi_y} + D_{\xi_y}$, where D_{ξ_y} is finite over Y_y , and C_{ξ_y} is supported in the fiber of π over point y . Let $L_y(\xi_y)$ be a choice of quasi arithmetic differentials defined on ξ_y . We say $L_y(\xi_y)$ is regular over y if $\eta_{iy}^*(L_y(\xi_y))$ are elements in

$$R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1} / \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}(-D_{\xi_y})) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y,y} \quad (84)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq N$.

Lemma 2.10 *Assume ξ_{1y} is a nonzero element in $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$. Then there exists a choice of quasi arithmetic differentials $L_y(\xi_{1y})$ that is regular over y .*

Proof. Define $C_{\xi_{1y}}$ and $D_{\xi_{1y}}$ for ξ_{1y} similarly as C_{ξ_y} and D_{ξ_y} for ξ_y . If $C_{\xi_{1y}} = 0$ and $D_{\xi_{1y}}$ is irreducible, then by the same arguments as in and before the proof of **Lemma 2.1**, there exists $L_y(\xi_{1y})$ that is regular over y . Moreover $L_y(\xi_{1y} + \xi_{2y}) - L_y(\xi_{2y})$ is a choice of quasi arithmetic differentials defined on ξ_{1y} for any choice of ξ_{2y} , $L_y(\xi_{1y} + \xi_{2y})$ and $L_y(\xi_{2y})$. So our Lemma is true for any ξ_{1y} . $\square$

Now we construct a choice of quasi arithmetic differentials over $X_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $\sigma : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a complex embedding. Let $X_{\sigma} = X \otimes_{\sigma} \mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathcal{A}^{i,j}(X_{\sigma})$ be the sheaf of smooth (i, j) forms on X_{σ} . Over X_{σ} , we have

$$R^1\pi_*(X_{\sigma}, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes -k}) \cong R^0\pi_*(X_{\sigma}, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})^{\vee} \quad (85)$$

For any $\eta^* \in R^0\pi_*(X_{\sigma}, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})^{\vee}$, let ω_{η^*} be a smooth section of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes -k} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{0,1}(X_{\sigma})$ on X_{σ} , such that the natural image of ω_{η^*} in $R^0\pi_*(X_{\sigma}, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})^{\vee}$ is η^* , i.e.

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi} \int_{X_{\sigma}} \eta' \wedge \omega_{\eta^*} = \eta^*(\eta') \quad (86)$$

for all $\eta' \in R^0\pi_*(X_{\sigma}, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})$.

Let ξ be a nonzero element in $R^0\pi_*(X_{\sigma}, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1})$. Since

$$R^1\pi_*(X_{\sigma}, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}) = 0 \quad (87)$$

so there exists a smooth section η_ξ^* of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}$ on X_σ such that

$$\bar{\partial}\eta_\xi^* = \xi\omega_{\eta^*} \quad (88)$$

If $\eta_{\xi,1}^*$ is another choice of smooth section of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}$ on X_σ that satisfies the equality (88), then

$$\bar{\partial}(\eta_\xi^* - \eta_{\xi,1}^*) = 0 \quad (89)$$

So $\eta_\xi^* - \eta_{\xi,1}^*$ is an element in $R^0\pi_*(X_\sigma, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})$.

Let $\{\eta_i\}$ be a set of basis of $R^0\pi_*(X_\sigma, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\{\eta_i^*\}$ be the dual basis of $R^0\pi_*(X_\sigma, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})^\vee$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Since

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi} \int_{X_\sigma} \eta_j \wedge \omega_{\eta_i^*} = \delta_{ij} \quad (90)$$

so there exists a unique choice of $\eta_{i,\xi}^*$ such that

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi} \int_{X_\sigma} \eta_{i,\xi}^* \wedge \omega_{\eta_j^*} = 0 \quad (91)$$

for all i, j . Let $\{\eta_{i,\xi}^*\}$ be the unique choice of smooth sections of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}$ on X_σ that satisfies (88) (91) for all i, j .

Theorem 2.11 *Let D_ξ be the divisor determined by $\xi = 0$ as a section of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1}$ on X_σ . Let*

$$L^\circ(\xi) = \sum_i \eta_{i,\xi}^* \otimes \eta_i \quad (92)$$

The restriction of $L^\circ(\xi)$ to D_ξ is a choice of quasi arithmetic differentials defined on ξ over X_σ .

Proof. We have

$$\text{Res}_{D_\xi} \frac{\eta_{i,\xi}^* \cdot \eta_j}{\xi} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \int_{X_\sigma} \eta_j \wedge \omega_{\eta_i^*} = 2\delta_{ij} \quad (93)$$

$$\text{Res}_{D_\xi} \frac{\eta_{i,\xi}^* \cdot \eta_{j,\xi}^*}{\xi} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \int_{X_\sigma} (\eta_{j,\xi}^* \wedge \omega_{\eta_i^*} + \eta_{i,\xi}^* \wedge \omega_{\eta_j^*}) = 0 \quad (94)$$

So our Lemma is true. $\square$

Lemma 2.12 *Let ξ_1, ξ_2 be elements in $R^0\pi_*(X_\sigma, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1})$. Let η^* be an element in $R^0\pi_*(X_\sigma, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})^\vee$. Then*

$$\eta^*(L^\circ(\xi_1), L^\circ(\xi_2)) = \xi_2\eta_{\xi_1}^* - \xi_1\eta_{\xi_2}^* \quad (95)$$

Proof. We can assume ξ_1 and ξ_2 have no common zeros on X_σ . For $i = 1, 2$, let D_{ξ_i} be the divisor determined by $\xi_i = 0$ as a section of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1}$ on X_σ . Then on D_{ξ_1} , we have

$$\frac{\xi_2\eta_{\xi_1}^* - \xi_1\eta_{\xi_2}^*}{\xi_2} = \eta_{\xi_1}^* \quad (96)$$

For the same reason, on D_{ξ_2} , we have

$$\frac{\xi_2\eta_{\xi_1}^* - \xi_1\eta_{\xi_2}^*}{\xi_1} = -\eta_{\xi_2}^* \quad (97)$$

Moreover

$$\bar{\partial}(\xi_2\eta_{\xi_1}^* - \xi_1\eta_{\xi_2}^*) = \xi_1\xi_2(\omega_{\eta^*} - \omega_{\eta^*}) = 0 \quad (98)$$

So $\xi_2\eta_{\xi_1}^* - \xi_1\eta_{\xi_2}^*$ is an element in $R^0\pi_*(X_\sigma, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 3k+2})$. So our Lemma is true. $\square$

Lemma 2.13 *Let ξ_1, ξ_2 be elements in $R^0\pi_*(X_\sigma, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1})$. Let α, β be elements in $\mathbb{C}$. Let η^* be an element in $R^0\pi_*(X_\sigma, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})^\vee$. Then*

$$\eta_{\alpha\xi_1+\beta\xi_2}^* = \alpha\eta_{\xi_1}^* + \beta\eta_{\xi_2}^* \quad (99)$$

Proof. We have

$$\bar{\partial}(\alpha\eta_{\xi_1}^* + \beta\eta_{\xi_2}^*) = (\alpha\xi_1 + \beta\xi_2)\omega_{\eta^*} \quad (100)$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi} \int_{X_\sigma} (\alpha\eta_{\xi_1}^* + \beta\eta_{\xi_2}^*) \wedge \omega_{\eta_i^*} = 0 \quad (101)$$

for all $\eta_i^* \in R^0\pi_*(X_\sigma, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})^\vee$. So our Lemma is true. $\square$

3 Proof of The Main Theorem

Lemma 3.1 *Let $E_P \subset X$ be a curve that is rational over Y . Let C be a divisor on Y . Let $k_1 \geq 0$ be an integer. Let*

$$M_{k_1} = R^0 \pi_* (X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k_1+1}(k_1 E_P)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_Y(-C) \quad (102)$$

Let ϑ be a nonzero element of M_{k_1} . Then we have

$$\sum_{\sigma} \log \|\vartheta\|_{\sigma} \geq \frac{-k_1}{2g-2} \omega_{X/Y} \cdot E_P - \frac{k+1}{2g-2} \omega_{X/Y}^2 + \deg C + O(1) \quad (103)$$

where the sum is taken over all complex embedding $\sigma : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and $O(1)$ is a constant determined by $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ and k .

Proof. Let $D_{\vartheta} + C_{\vartheta}$ be the divisor determined by $\vartheta = 0$ as a section of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}(-C)$ on X , where D_{ϑ} is the horizontal component and C_{ϑ} is the vertical component. Assume $D_{\vartheta} = l_1 E_P + \sum_j m_j D_j$, where D_j is irreducible and E_P is not an element in $\{D_j\}$. Let n_j be the degree of D_j over Y . Note $D_j \cdot (D_j + \omega_{X/Y}) \geq 0$, and

$$\widehat{c}_1(\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}(-C)) = (C_{\vartheta} + l_1 E_P + \sum_j m_j D_j, -\log |\vartheta|^2) \quad (104)$$

where $\widehat{c}_1$ is the first arithmetic Chern class. So we have

$$D_j \cdot ((k+1)\omega_{X/Y} - C_{\vartheta} - C - l_1 E_P - \sum_{i \neq j} m_i D_i + m_j \omega_{X/Y}) + n_j \sum_{\sigma} \log \|\vartheta\|_{\sigma} \geq O(1) \quad (105)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_j m_j D_j \cdot ((k+1)\omega_{X/Y} + m_j \omega_{X/Y} - l_1 E_P) + \sum_j m_j n_j \cdot \left(\sum_{\sigma} \log \|\vartheta\|_{\sigma} - \deg C \right) \geq O(1) \quad (106)$$

Let m' be the maximal value of m_j . By (104), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j m_j^2 D_j \cdot \omega_{X/Y} &\leq m' \sum_j m_j D_j \cdot \omega_{X/Y} \\ &\leq m'((k+1)\omega_{X/Y} - l_1 E_P) \cdot \omega_{X/Y} \\ &\quad + (2g-2)m' \left(\sum_{\sigma} \log \|\vartheta\|_{\sigma} - \deg C \right) + O(1) \end{aligned} \quad (107)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j m_j D_j \cdot ((k+1)\omega_{X/Y} - l_1 E_P) &\leq (k+1)^2 \omega_{X/Y}^2 - 2(k+1)l_1 E_P \cdot \omega_{X/Y} \\ &\quad + l_1^2 E_P \cdot E_P + ((2g-2)(k+1) - l_1) \left(\sum_{\sigma} \log \|\vartheta\|_{\sigma} - \deg C \right) + O(1) \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

Moreover $\sum_j m_j n_j = (k+1)(2g-2) - l_1$ and $E_P \cdot E_P = -\omega_{X/Y} \cdot E_P$ and $\omega_{X/Y}^2 \geq 0$. Then by (106) (107) (108), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\sigma} \log \|\vartheta\|_{\sigma} - \deg C \\ &\geq -\frac{(k+1)(k+1+m')}{(2g-2)(2(k+1) - \frac{2l_1}{2g-2} + m')} \omega_{X/Y}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{l_1(2(k+1) + l_1 + m')}{(2g-2)(2(k+1) - \frac{2l_1}{2g-2} + m')} E_P \cdot \omega_{X/Y} + O(1) \\ &\geq -\frac{k+1}{2g-2} \omega_{X/Y}^2 + \frac{l_1}{2g-2} E_P \cdot \omega_{X/Y} + O(1) \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

So by $l_1 \geq -k_1$, we see our Lemma is true. $\square$

Lemma 3.2 *There exists a set of $\mathcal{O}_Y$ modules*

$$0 = H_0 \subset H_1 \subset \cdots \subset H_{(2k+1)(g-1)} = R^0 \pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}) \quad (110)$$

such that

- (i) H_i/H_{i-1} are torsion free modules of rank 1 over $\mathcal{O}_Y$ for all $1 \leq i \leq (2k+1)(g-1)$.
- (ii) For all $2 \leq i \leq (2k+1)(g-1)$, we have

$$\widehat{c}_1(H_i/H_{i-1}) \leq \widehat{c}_1(H_{i-1}/H_{i-2}) + [F : \mathbb{Q}]d_Y + O(1) \quad (111)$$

where $O(1)$ is a constant determined by k and g .

Proof. Let η'_1 be an element in $R^0 \pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})$ with the smallest norm among all the nonzero elements in $R^0 \pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})$. Let H_1 be the $\mathcal{O}_Y$ submodule of $R^0 \pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})$ such that $H_1 \otimes F$ is generated by η'_1 and $H'_1 = R^0 \pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})/H_1$ is torsion free.

For $2 \leq i \leq (2k+1)(g-1)$, by induction, let η'_i be an element in H'_{i-1} with the minimal norm among all the nonzero elements in H'_{i-1} . Let $H_i \subset R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})$ be the $\mathcal{O}_Y$ submodule such that $H_i \otimes F$ is generated by H_{i-1}, η'_i , and $H'_i = R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})/H_i$ is torsion free. Let $T_i \subset H'_{i-2}$ be the kernel of the natural morphism $H'_{i-2} \rightarrow H'_i$. Let T'_i be the kernel of the natural morphism $H'_{i-2} \rightarrow H'_{i-1}$. Let T''_i be the quotient T_i/T'_i .

Note T_i has rank 2 over $\mathcal{O}_Y$. Since η'_{i-1} has the smallest norm among all the nonzero elements in T_i , so by Arithmetic Riemann-Roch formula, we have

$$\widehat{c}_1(T_i) \leq -2[F : \mathbb{Q}] \log \|\eta'_{i-1}\| + [F : \mathbb{Q}]d_Y + O(1) \quad (112)$$

Moreover

$$\widehat{c}_1(T''_i) \geq -[F : \mathbb{Q}] \log \|\eta'_{i-1}\| + O(1) \quad (113)$$

So we have

$$\widehat{c}_1(T'_i) \leq -[F : \mathbb{Q}] \log \|\eta'_{i-1}\| + [F : \mathbb{Q}]d_Y + O(1) \quad (114)$$

Therefore we have

$$\widehat{c}_1(T''_i) - \widehat{c}_1(T'_i) \geq -[F : \mathbb{Q}]d_Y + O(1) \quad (115)$$

Note $T''_i = H_{i-1}/H_{i-2}$ and $T'_i = H_i/H_{i-1}$. So (111) is true. $\square$

Lemma 3.3 *There exists a set of elements $\{\eta_i\}$ in $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})$, where $1 \leq i \leq (2k+1)(g-1)$, such that*

- (i) $\{\eta_i\}$ is a set of basis of $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}) \otimes F$ over F .
- (ii) Let $V_i \subset R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}) \otimes F$ be the subspace generated by η_j over F , where $j \neq i$. There exists a constant a_3 in $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ that is determined by k and $X_{\mathbb{C}}$, such that the angles between η_i and $V_i \otimes \mathbb{C}$ are in $(a_3, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq (2k+1)(g-1)$.
- (iii) Let $\{\eta_i^*\}$ be the set of dual basis of $\{\eta_i\}$ in $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})^\vee \otimes F$. Then there exists a cycle C_0 on Y , such that

$$\deg(C_0) \leq (2k+1)(2g-2)[F : \mathbb{Q}]d_Y + O(1) \quad (116)$$

where $O(1)$ is a constant determined by k and $X_{\mathbb{C}}$, and η_i^* are elements in

$$R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0) \quad (117)$$

Proof. Assume the notations of the previous Lemma. By induction, we choose $\eta_i'' \in H_i/H_{i-1}$. Let C_{i-1} be the divisor determined by η_{i-1}'' as a section of H_{i-1}/H_{i-2} . Let $\eta_i'' \in H_i/H_{i-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-C_{i-1})$ be an element, such that

$$\log \|\eta_i''\|_\sigma = \log \|\eta_i''\| + O(1) \quad (118)$$

for all complex embedding $\sigma : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and

$$\deg(C_i) - \deg(C_{i-1}) = 2[F : \mathbb{Q}]d_Y + O(1) \quad (119)$$

where $C_i \subset Y$ is the divisor determined by $\eta_i'' = 0$ as a section of H_i/H_{i-1} on Y .

Let $\eta_1 = \eta_1''$. By induction, assume η_{i-1} is an element in H_{i-1} , such that $\eta_{i-1} = \eta_{i-1}''$ in H_{i-1}/H_{i-2} , and

$$\log \|\eta_{i-1}\|_\sigma = \log \|\eta_{i-1}''\|_\sigma + O(1) \quad (120)$$

for all complex embedding $\sigma : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. By (110) (119) and (111), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log \|\eta_i''\| &= \frac{1}{[F : \mathbb{Q}]} (\deg(C_i) - \widehat{c}_1(H_i/H_{i-1})) + O(1) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{[F : \mathbb{Q}]} (\deg(C_{i-1}) - \widehat{c}_1(H_{i-1}/H_{i-2})) + d_Y + O(1) \\ &= \log \|\eta_{i-1}''\| + d_Y + O(1) \\ &= \log \|\eta_{i-1}\| + d_Y + O(1) \end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

Note there exists a set of lattice of full rank $\{e_{3,j}\}$ in H_{i-1} , such that

$$e_{3,j} = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_{i,j} \eta_j \quad (122)$$

where $\alpha_{i,j} \in R$, and

$$\log \|e_{3,j}\| \leq \log \|\eta_{i-1}\| + d_Y + O(1) \quad (123)$$

So there exists $\eta_i \in H_i$, such that the angle between η_i and the subspace $H_{i-1}' \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is sufficiently close to $\frac{\pi}{2}$, and $\eta_i = \eta_i''$ in H_i/H_{i-1} .

By (111) and $\deg(C_1) \leq 2[F : \mathbb{Q}]d_Y + O(1)$, we have

$$\deg(C_{(2k+1)(g-1)}) \leq (2k+1)(2g-2)[F : \mathbb{Q}]d_Y + O(1) \quad (124)$$

Let $C_0 = C_{(2k+1)(g-1)}$. We see our Lemma is true. $\square$

Assume the notations in the previous section. Let ϕ_0 be an element in $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}(E_P))$ such that

1. ϕ_0 is not an element in $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})$.
2. For every complex embedding $\sigma : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\log \|\phi_0\|_\sigma$ is sufficiently large, and the angle between ϕ_0 and $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}) \otimes_\sigma \mathbb{C}$ is in $[\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.

Let C_{ϕ_0} be the divisor determined by $\phi_0 = 0$ as a section of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}(E_P)$ on E_P .

Let $k_3 > 0$ be a sufficiently large integer. Let $k_2 = 2k_3$ and $k = 3k_3$. Let ξ_1 be a nonzero element in $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k_3})$. Let ξ_2 be a nonzero element in $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k_2+1})$. Let $\xi_3 = \xi_1\xi_2$. Let $\{\eta_i\}$ be the set of elements constructed in **Lemma 3.3**. Let D_{ξ_3} be the divisor determined by $\xi_3 = 0$ as a section of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1}$ on X . Let D_{ξ_2} be the divisor determined by $\xi_2 = 0$ as a section of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k_2+1}$ on X . Assume E_P is not a component of D_{ξ_2} . Let C_{ξ_2} be the divisor determined by $\xi_2 = 0$ as a section of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k_2+1}$ on E_P . We choose ξ_2 such that

$$\deg(C_{\xi_2} - C_{\phi_0}) \gg 0 \quad (125)$$

Note there exists elements $s_{\eta_i^*}$ in

$$R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k_3+1}/\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k_3+1}(-D_{\xi_2})) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0) \quad (126)$$

such that

$$\text{Res}_{D_{\xi_2}} \frac{\eta_j \cdot s_{\eta_i^*}}{\xi_2} = 2\delta_{ij} \quad (127)$$

Let

$$b_{7,i} = \text{Res}_{D_{\xi_2}} \frac{\phi_0 \cdot s_{\eta_i^*}}{\xi_2} \quad (128)$$

By (125), there exists an element $b_{8,i} \in F$, such that $b_{7,i} - b_{8,i}$ is an element in $\mathcal{O}_Y(C_{\xi_2} - C_{\phi_0})$, and

$$-\log \|b_{8,i}\| \gg 0 \quad (129)$$

Let $\sigma : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a complex embedding. Let $X_\sigma = X \otimes_\sigma \mathbb{C}$. We have

$$R^0\pi_*(X_\sigma, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}(E_P))^\vee = R^1\pi_*(X_\sigma, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes -k}(-E_P)) \quad (130)$$

Let η_{i,ϕ_0}^* be the element in $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}(E_P))^\vee$ defined by

$$\eta_{i,\phi_0}^*(\phi_0) = \frac{b_{8,i}}{2} \quad (131)$$

$$\eta_{i,\phi_0}^*(\eta_j) = \delta_{ij} \quad (132)$$

Let $\omega_{\eta_i^*}$ be a smooth $(0,1)$ form of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes -k}(-E_P)$ on X_σ whose natural image in $R^0\pi_*(X_\sigma, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}(E_P))^\vee$ is η_{i,ϕ_0}^* . Let η_{i,ξ_3}^* be the unique choice of smooth section of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}$ on X_σ defined in (88) – (91). Let

$$L^\circ(\xi_3) = \sum_i \eta_{i,\xi_3}^* \otimes \eta_i \quad (133)$$

be the canonical choice of quasi arithmetic differentials constructed from $\omega_{\eta_i^*}$. Over X_σ , let

$$\zeta(\eta_i^*, L^\circ(\xi_3)) = \eta_{i,\xi_3}^* \quad (134)$$

Now we prove the main Theorem of this paper.

Theorem 3.4 *Let $E_P \subset X$ be a curve that is rational over Y . Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists constant $O(1)$ determined by ε and $X_\mathbb{C}$, such that*

$$\frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)[F:\mathbb{Q}]} \omega_{X/Y} \cdot E_P \leq (4g-4)d_Y + \frac{1}{[F:\mathbb{Q}](2g-2)} \omega_{X/Y}^2 + O(1) \quad (135)$$

Proof. Let $\Delta_X \subset X \times_Y X$ be the image of the diagonal map. Let $\pi_1 : X \times_Y X \rightarrow X$ be the natural projection that maps (z_1, z_2) to z_1 . Let $\pi_2 : X \times_Y X \rightarrow X$ be the natural projection that maps (z_1, z_2) to z_2 . Let $\pi_3 : X \times_Y X \rightarrow Y$ be the natural morphism.

Let ξ_4 be a nonzero element in $R^0\pi_{3*}(X \times_Y X, \pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k_3} \otimes \pi_2^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 3})$ that vanishes along Δ_X with order 1. Let

$$\xi_5 = \xi_4 \cdot \pi_1^* \xi_2 \quad (136)$$

Then ξ_5 is an element in $R^0\pi_{3*}(X \times_Y X, \pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1} \otimes \pi_2^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 3})$. Let D'_{ξ_5} be the divisor determined by $\xi_5 = 0$ as a section of $\pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1} \otimes \pi_2^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 3}$ on $X \times_Y X$. Then

$$D'_{\xi_5} = D_{\xi_5} + \Delta_X \quad (137)$$

where Δ_X is not a component of D_{ξ_5} .

Let τ^* be the section of

$$R^0\pi_{2*}(X \times_Y X, \pi_1^*\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})^\vee \otimes \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0) \quad (138)$$

over X , defined by

$$\sum_i \eta_i^* \cdot \eta_i \quad (139)$$

Let $z \in X_\sigma$ be a close point. Let ν_z be a generator of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 3} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_\sigma, z}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{X_\sigma, z}$. Let $\tau^*(\xi_5)$ be the smooth section of $\pi_1^*\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1} \otimes \pi_2^*\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+4}$ which is equal to

$$\sum_i \zeta \left(\eta_i^*, L^\circ \left(\frac{\xi_5}{\pi_2^*\nu_z} \right) \right) \cdot \pi_2^*\eta_i\nu_z \quad (140)$$

on $X_\sigma \times X_\sigma$.

For any nonzero element t''' in $R^0\pi_{2*}(X \times_Y X, \pi_1^*\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}(-\Delta_X))$, we have

$$\text{Res}_{D_{\xi_5}} \frac{t''' \cdot \tau^*(\xi_5)}{\xi_5} = \text{Res}_{D'_{\xi_5}} \frac{t''' \cdot \tau^*(\xi_5)}{\xi_5} = \sum_i 2\eta_i^*(t''') \cdot \eta_i = 2t'''|_{\Delta_X} = 0 \quad (141)$$

By the same argument as in the second part of the proof of **Lemma 2.1**, we see that there exists a unique smooth section u of

$$R^0\pi_{2*}(X_\sigma \times X_\sigma, \pi_1^*\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}) \otimes \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+4} \quad (142)$$

over X_σ , such that

$$\tau^*(\xi_5) - u = 0 \quad (143)$$

along D_{ξ_5} on $X_\sigma \times X_\sigma$. Let $\tau_0^*(\xi_5) = \tau^*(\xi_5) - u$.

Lemma 3.5 *The restriction of $\tau_0^*(\xi_5)$ to $\pi_1^{-1}E_P$ is a nonzero element in*

$$R^0\pi_{3*}(\pi_1^{-1}E_P, \pi_2^*\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+4}(-D_{\xi_5})) \otimes \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}|_{E_P} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0) \quad (144)$$

Proof. Note we have

$$\tau^*(\xi_5) = \sum_i \eta_i^* \left(L^\circ \left(\frac{\xi_5}{\pi_2^*\nu_z} \right) \right) \cdot \pi_2^*\eta_i\nu_z \quad (145)$$

in $R^0\pi_{2*}(X_\sigma \times X_\sigma, \pi_1^*\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k_2+1}/\pi_1^*\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k_2+1}(-D'_{\xi_5})) \otimes \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+4}$ around point z . And

$$\text{Res}_{D'_{\xi_5}} \frac{\xi_4 s_{\eta_i^*} \cdot \pi_1^* \eta_j}{\xi_5} = \text{Res}_{D'_{\xi_5}} \frac{\eta_i^*(L^\circ(\frac{\xi_5}{\pi_2^* \nu_z})) \pi_2^* \nu_z \cdot \pi_1^* \eta_j}{\xi_5} \quad (146)$$

So there exists a unique smooth section t_i of $R^0\pi_{2*}(X_\sigma \times X_\sigma, \pi_1^*\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}) \otimes \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 3}$ over X_σ , such that

$$\xi_4 s_{\eta_i^*} - \eta_i^*(L^\circ(\frac{\xi_5}{\pi_2^* \nu_z})) \cdot \pi_2^* \nu_z = t_i \quad (147)$$

along D'_{ξ_5} . Since the restriction of $\sum_i \eta_i^*(L^\circ(\frac{\xi_5}{\pi_2^* \nu_z})) \cdot \pi_2^* \nu_z \eta_i$ to D_{ξ_5} is a section in (142), so the restriction of $\sum_i \xi_4 s_{\eta_i^*} \cdot \pi_2^* \eta_i$ to D_{ξ_5} is an element t' in

$$R^0\pi_{3*}(X \times_Y X, \pi_1^*\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1} \otimes \pi_2^*\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+4}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0) \quad (148)$$

and

$$u + \sum_i t_i \cdot \pi_2^* \eta_i = t' \quad (149)$$

Therefore along D'_{ξ_5} , we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_0^*(\xi_5) &= \sum_i \zeta(\eta_i^*, L^\circ(\frac{\xi_5}{\pi_2^* \nu_z})) \cdot \pi_2^* \eta_i \nu_z - u \\ &= \sum_i \xi_4 s_{\eta_i^*} \cdot \pi_2^* \eta_i - \sum_i t_i \cdot \pi_2^* \eta_i - u \\ &= \sum_i \xi_4 s_{\eta_i^*} \cdot \pi_2^* \eta_i - t' \end{aligned} \quad (150)$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma \left(\text{Res}_{D'_{\xi_5} \cup \pi_1^{-1} E_P} \frac{(\tau_0^*(\xi_5) + t') \cdot \pi_1^* \phi_0}{\xi_5} \right) \\ &= \sum_i \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \int_{X_\sigma} \pi_1^* \phi_0 \wedge \omega_{\eta_i^*} \right) \cdot \eta_i \\ &= \sum_i \sigma(b_{8,i}) \eta_i \end{aligned} \quad (151)$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sigma \left(\text{Res}_{\pi_1^{-1}E_P} \frac{(\tau_0^*(\xi_5) + t') \cdot \pi_1^* \phi_0}{\xi_5} \right) \\
&= \sum_i \sigma(b_{8,i}) \eta_i - \sigma \left(\text{Res}_{D'_{\xi_5}} \frac{(\tau_0^*(\xi_5) + t') \cdot \pi_1^* \phi_0}{\xi_5} \right) \\
&= \sum_i \sigma(b_{8,i}) \eta_i - \sum_i \sigma \left(\text{Res}_{D'_{\xi_5}} \frac{\xi_4 s_{\eta_i^*} \cdot \pi_2^* \eta_i \cdot \pi_1^* \phi_0}{\xi_5} \right) \\
&= \sum_i \sigma(b_{8,i}) \eta_i - \sum_i \sigma \left(\text{Res}_{\pi_1^{-1}D_{\xi_2}} \frac{s_{\eta_i^*} \cdot \pi_1^* \phi_0}{\pi_1^* \xi_2} \right) \eta_i \\
&= \sum_i \sigma(b_{8,i} - b_{7,i}) \eta_i
\end{aligned} \tag{152}$$

Since $b_{8,i} - b_{7,i}$ is an element in $\mathcal{O}_Y(C_{\xi_2} - C_{\phi_0})$, and the restriction of $\frac{\xi_5}{\pi_1^* \phi_0}$ to $\pi_1^{-1}E_P$ is an element in

$$R^0 \pi_{3*}(\pi_1^{-1}E_P, \pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k} \otimes \pi_2^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 3}(-E_P)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-C_{\xi_2} + C_{\phi_0}) \tag{153}$$

so the restriction of $\tau_0^*(\xi_5) + t'$ to $\pi_1^{-1}E_P$ is an element in

$$R^0 \pi_{3*}(\pi_1^{-1}E_P, \pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1} \otimes \pi_2^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+4}) \tag{154}$$

Moreover t' is an element in (148) and $\tau_0^*(\xi_5)$ vanishes along D_{ξ_5} , so $\tau_0^*(\xi_5)$ is an element in (144).

Let t'' be an element in $R^0 \pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})$. Note the restriction of $\frac{\tau_0^*(\xi_5)}{\xi_5}$ to Δ_X is a rational section of

$$\pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1} \otimes \pi_2^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+4} \otimes \pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes -2k-1} \otimes \pi_2^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes -3}(\Delta_X) \tag{155}$$

on Δ_X , which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}$. Assume this section is $\lambda \in F$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda t'' &= \text{Res}_{\Delta_X} \frac{\tau_0^*(\xi_5) \cdot \pi_1^* t''}{\xi_5} = \text{Res}_{D'_{\xi_5}} \frac{\tau_0^*(\xi_5) \cdot \pi_1^* t''}{\xi_5} \\
&= \text{Res}_{D'_{\xi_5}} \frac{\tau^*(\xi_5) \cdot \pi_1^* t''}{\xi_5} = \sum_i 2\eta_i^*(t'') \eta_i = 2t''
\end{aligned} \tag{156}$$

So $\lambda = 2$. Hence by (140), the restriction of $\tau_0^*(\xi_5)$ to $\pi_1^{-1}E_P$ is a nonzero element in (144). $\square$

By **Lemma 3.5**, we see that the restriction of $\frac{\tau_0^*(\xi_5)}{\xi_5}$ to $\pi_1^{-1}E_P$ is a nonzero element in

$$R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}(E_P)) \otimes \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes -k}|_{E_P} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0) \quad (157)$$

Therefore by **Lemma 3.1**, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [F : \mathbb{Q}] \log \left\| \frac{\tau_0^*(\xi_5)}{\xi_5} \right\| &\geq k\omega_{X/Y} \cdot E_P - \deg(C_0) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(2g-2)}\omega_{X/Y} \cdot E_P - \frac{k+1}{2g-2}\omega_{X/Y}^2 + O(1) \end{aligned} \quad (158)$$

By (129) and the construction of $\tau_0^*(\xi_5)$, we have

$$[F : \mathbb{Q}] \log \left\| \frac{\tau_0^*(\xi_5)}{\xi_5} \right\| \leq O(1) \quad (159)$$

Let $k \rightarrow +\infty$, by (116) (158) (159), we see our Theorem is true. $\square$

Note **Theorem 1.1** is implied by **Theorem 3.4** by base change.

4 Height Inequality for Function Field Case

Assume Y is a smooth curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over $\mathbb{C}$, and morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is smooth. Let $E_P \subset X$ be a curve that is rational over Y . For any cycle C on E_P , we still use C to denote the push-forward cycle of C on Y .

Lemma 4.1 *Let C be a divisor on Y . Let $k_1 \geq 0$ be an integer. Let*

$$M_{k_1} = R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k_1+1}(k_1 E_P)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_Y(-C) \quad (160)$$

Assume

$$\frac{-k_1}{2g-2}\omega_{X/Y} \cdot E_P - \frac{k_1+1}{2g-2}\omega_{X/Y}^2 + \deg C > 0 \quad (161)$$

Then we have

$$H^0(Y, M_{k_1}) = 0 \quad (162)$$

Proof. Exercise. For detail see **Lemma 3.1**. $\square$

Lemma 4.2 *There exists a set of $\mathcal{O}_Y$ modules*

$$0 = H_0 \subset H_1 \subset \cdots \subset H_{(2k+1)(g-1)} = R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}) \quad (163)$$

such that

- (i) H_i/H_{i-1} are locally free of rank 1 over $\mathcal{O}_Y$ for all $1 \leq i \leq (2k+1)(g-1)$.
- (ii) For all $2 \leq i \leq (2k+1)(g-1)$, we have

$$c_1(H_i/H_{i-1}) \leq c_1(H_{i-1}/H_{i-2}) + 2q - 1 \quad (164)$$

Proof. Exercise. For detail, see **Lemma 3.2**. $\square$

Lemma 4.3 *There exists divisors C'_i on Y and a set of elements $\{\eta_i\}$ in $H^0(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C'_i))$, where $1 \leq i \leq (2k+1)(g-1)$, such that*

- (i) η_i is an element in $H^0(X, H_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C'_i))$, and the image of η_i in $H_i/H_{i-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C'_i)$ is nonzero.
- (ii) Let $\{\eta_i^*\}$ be the set of dual basis of $\{\eta_i\}$ in $R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})^\vee \otimes F$ over F . Then there exists a cycle C_0 on Y , such that

$$\deg(C_0) \leq (2k+1)(g-1)(4q-2) \quad (165)$$

and for all $1 \leq i \leq (2k+1)(g-1)$, η_i^ is an element in*

$$H^0(Y, R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0 - C'_i)) \quad (166)$$

Proof. Assume the notations of the previous Lemma. Let C''_0 be a divisor on Y with sufficiently large degree. Let

$$S_i = (H_i/H_{i-1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C''_0) \quad (167)$$

Let $\eta'_{(2k+1)(g-1)}$ be a nonzero element in $H^0(Y, S_{(2k+1)(g-1)})$. Let $C''_{(2k+1)(g-1)}$ be the divisor determined by $\eta'_{(2k+1)(g-1)} = 0$ as a section of $S_{(2k+1)(g-1)}$ on

Y . For $i \leq (2k+1)(g-1)$, by induction, let $C_{i-1,1}$ be an effective divisor on Y such that

$$\deg(C_{i-1,1}) = 4q - 2 \quad (168)$$

By (164), we have

$$\deg(S_{i-1}(-C_i'' + C_{i-1,1})) \geq 2q - 1 \quad (169)$$

So there exists a nonzero element η'_{i-1} in $H^0(Y, S_{i-1}(-C_i'' + C_{i-1,1}))$. Let C_{i-1}'' be the divisor determined by $\eta'_{i-1} = 0$ as a section of S_{i-1} on Y .

By (169), we have

$$\deg(S_{l-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0''' - C_i''' + \sum_{j=l-1}^{i-1} C_{j,1})) \geq (2q-1)(i-l+1) \quad (170)$$

Then we have

$$H^1(Y, S_{l-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0''' - C_i''' + \sum_{j=l-1}^{i-1} C_{j,1})) = 0 \quad (171)$$

for $1 \leq l \leq i$. Hence there exists $\eta_{i,l}$ in

$$H^0(Y, (H_i/H_{l-2}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0''' - C_i''' + \sum_{j=l-1}^{i-1} C_{j,1})) \quad (172)$$

such that $\eta_{i,i} - \eta'_i = 0$ in S_i and $\eta_{i,l-1} - \eta_{i,l} = 0$ in H_i/H_{l-2} for all $2 \leq l \leq i-1$. Let $\eta_i = \eta_{i,2}$. Let

$$C'_i = C_0''' - C_i''' + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} C_{j,1} \quad (173)$$

Then we see (i) is true.

For $1 \leq i < (2k+1)(g-1)$, let $C_{i,3}$ be the divisor determined by $\eta'_i = 0$ as a section of $S_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-C_{i+1}'' + C_{i,1})$ on Y . Then $C_{i,3}$ is an effective divisor of degree $\geq 2q-1$. Let

$$C_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{(2k+1)(g-1)-1} C_{i,1} \quad (174)$$

Let $y \in Y$ be a closed point. Let η_y be an element in

$$R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}(C'_i - C_0) \quad (175)$$

For $j \geq i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C'_i - C_0 &= C''_0 - C''_i + \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} C_{l,1} - C_0 \\ &= C'_j + (C''_j - C''_i) - \sum_{l=i}^{j-1} C_{l,1} - C_0 \\ &= C'_j - C_0 - \sum_{l=i}^{j-1} C_{l,3} \\ &= C''_0 - C''_j - \sum_{l=j}^{(2k+1)(g-1)-1} C_{l,1} - \sum_{l=i}^{j-1} C_{l,3} \end{aligned} \quad (176)$$

Then by (172), there exists $b_{j,2} \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ such that

$$\eta_y - \sum_{j=i}^{(2k+1)(g-1)} b_{j,2} \eta_j \quad (177)$$

is an element in $H_{i-1} \otimes F$. So $\eta_i^*(\eta_y)$ is an element in $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$. So (ii) is true. $\square$

Assume the notations in Section 2. We have

$$R^0\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0 - C'_j) = R^1\pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes -k}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0 - C'_j) \quad (178)$$

Let $\{\eta_j\}$ be the set of elements in **Lemma 4.3**. Let $\{U_i\}$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$, be a set of small open sets on Y , such that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i = Y \quad (179)$$

and there exists a smooth $(0, 1)$ form $\omega_{\eta_j^*, i}$ of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes -k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0 - C'_j)$ on $\pi^{-1}(U_i)$ whose natural image in

$$R^0\pi_*(\pi^{-1}U_i, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0 - C'_j) \quad (180)$$

is η_j^* , and $\omega_{\eta_j^*, i} = 0$ on a small open set of $\pi^{-1}U_i$ containing $E_P \cap \pi^{-1}U_i$. Let ρ_i be a smooth function with compact support on U_i , and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i = 1 \quad (181)$$

on Y . Let

$$\omega_{\eta_j^*} = \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i \omega_{\eta_j^*, i} \quad (182)$$

Then $\omega_{\eta_j^*}$ is a smooth $(0, 1)$ form of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes -k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0 - C'_j)$ on X , and the natural image of $\omega_{\eta_j^*}$ in (180) is η_j^* for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

For any nonzero element ξ in $R^0\pi_*(\pi^{-1}U_i, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1})$. Let $\eta_{j, \xi}^*$ be the unique smooth section of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0 - C'_j)$ constructed from $\omega_{\eta_j^*}$ by the method in (88) – (91). Let

$$L^\circ(\xi) = \sum_j \eta_{j, \xi}^* \otimes \eta_j \quad (183)$$

$$\zeta(\eta_j^*, L^\circ(\xi)) = \eta_{j, \xi}^* \quad (184)$$

Now we prove the main Theorem in function field case.

Theorem 4.4 *Let Y be a smooth curve of genus $q \geq 2$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Let X be a smooth complex surface with a smooth morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$. Assume the generic fiber of π has genus $g \geq 2$. Let $E_P \subset X$ be a curve that is rational over Y . Then we have*

$$\omega_{X/Y} \cdot E_P \leq (2g - 2)(4q - 2) + \frac{1}{2g - 2} \omega_{X/Y}^2 \quad (185)$$

Proof. Let $\Delta_X \subset X \times_Y X$ be the image of the diagonal map. Let $\pi_1 : X \times_Y X \rightarrow X$ be the natural projection that maps (z_1, z_2) to z_1 . Let $\pi_2 : X \times_Y X \rightarrow X$ be the natural projection that maps (z_1, z_2) to z_2 . Let $\pi_3 : X \times_Y X \rightarrow Y$ be the natural morphism.

Let C_5''' be a divisor on Y with large degree. Let ξ_5 be an element in $H^0(X \times_Y X, \pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1} \otimes \pi_2^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 3} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_5'''))$ that vanishes along Δ_X with order 1 as a section of $\pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1} \otimes \pi_2^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 3}(C_5''')$ on $X \times_Y X$. Let D'_{ξ_5} be

the divisor determined by $\xi_5 = 0$ as a section of $\pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2k+1} \otimes \pi_2^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 3}(C_5''')$ on $X \times_Y X$. Then

$$D'_{\xi_5} = D_{\xi_5} + \Delta_X \quad (186)$$

where Δ_X is not a component of D_{ξ_5} .

Let τ^* be the section of

$$R^0 \pi_{2*}(X \times_Y X, \pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})^\vee \otimes \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0) \quad (187)$$

over X , defined by

$$\sum_i \eta_i^* \cdot \eta_i \quad (188)$$

Let $x \in X$ be a close point. Let ν_x be a generator of $\omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes 3}(C_5''') \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. Let $\tau^*(\xi_5)$ be the smooth section of $\pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1} \otimes \pi_2^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+4}(C_5''')$ which is equal to

$$\sum_i \zeta \left(\eta_i^*, L^\circ \left(\frac{\xi_5}{\pi_2^* \nu_x} \right) \right) \cdot \pi_2^* \eta_i \nu_x \quad (189)$$

on $X \times_Y X$.

For any nonzero element t''' in $R^0 \pi_{2*}(X \times_Y X, \pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}(-\Delta_X)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$, we have

$$\text{Res}_{D_{\xi_5}} \frac{t''' \cdot \tau^*(\xi_5)}{\xi_5} = \text{Res}_{D'_{\xi_5}} \frac{t''' \cdot \tau^*(\xi_5)}{\xi_5} = \sum_i 2\eta_i^*(t''') \cdot \eta_i = t'''|_{\Delta_X} = 0 \quad (190)$$

By the same argument as in the second part of the proof of **Lemma 2.1**, we see that there exists a unique smooth section u of

$$R^0 \pi_{2*}(X \times_Y X, \pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}) \otimes \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+4} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0 + C_5''') \quad (191)$$

over X , such that

$$\tau^*(\xi_5) - u = 0 \quad (192)$$

along D_{ξ_5} . Let $\tau_0^*(\xi_5) = \tau^*(\xi_5) - u$.

Lemma 4.5 *The restriction of $\tau_0^*(\xi_5)$ to $\pi_1^{-1}E_P$ is a nonzero element of*

$$H^0(\pi_1^{-1}E_P, \pi_2^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+4} \otimes \pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}(-D_{\xi_5}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0 + C_5''')) \quad (193)$$

Proof. Note we have

$$\bar{\partial} \left(\zeta(\eta_j^*, L^\circ(\frac{\xi_5}{\nu_x})) \cdot \nu_x \right) = \xi_5 \omega_{\eta_j^*} \quad (194)$$

which is equal to zero on D'_{ξ_5} and $\pi_1^{-1}E_P$. So $\zeta(\eta_j^*, L^\circ(\frac{\xi_5}{\nu_x})) \cdot \nu_x$ is a holomorphic section on $\pi_1^{-1}E_P$ and D'_{ξ_5} . Moreover

$$\tau^*(\xi_5) = \sum_i \eta_i^* \left(L^\circ \left(\frac{\xi_5}{\pi_2^* \nu_x} \right) \right) \cdot \pi_2^* \eta_i \nu_x \quad (195)$$

in $R^0 \pi_{2*}(X \times_Y X, \pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k_2+1} / \pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k_2+1}(-D'_{\xi_5})) \otimes \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+4}(C_5''')$ around point x . So u is a holomorphic section of (191). Therefore the restriction of $\tau_0^*(\xi_5)$ to $\pi_1^{-1}E_P$ is a holomorphic section of $\pi_2^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+4} \otimes \pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}(-D_{\xi_5}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0 + C_5''')$ on $\pi_1^{-1}E_P$.

Let t'' be an element of $H^0(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1})$. Note the restriction of $\frac{\tau_0^*(\xi_5)}{\xi_5}$ to Δ_X is a rational section of

$$\pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1} \otimes \pi_2^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+4} \otimes \pi_1^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes -2k-1} \otimes \pi_2^* \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes -3}(\Delta_X) \quad (196)$$

on Δ_X , which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}$. Assume this section is $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda t'' &= \text{Res}_{\Delta_X} \frac{\tau_0^*(\xi_5) \cdot \pi_1^* t''}{\xi_5} = \text{Res}_{D'_{\xi_5}} \frac{\tau_0^*(\xi_5) \cdot \pi_1^* t''}{\xi_5} \\ &= \text{Res}_{D'_{\xi_5}} \frac{\tau^*(\xi_5) \cdot \pi_1^* t''}{\xi_5} = \sum_i 2\eta_i^*(t'')\eta_i = 2t'' \end{aligned} \quad (197)$$

So $\lambda = 2$. Hence by (189), the restriction of $\tau_0^*(\xi_5)$ to $\pi_1^{-1}E_P$ is a nonzero element in (193). $\square$

By **Lemma 4.5**, we see that the restriction of $\frac{\tau_0^*(\xi_5)}{\xi_5}$ to $\pi_1^{-1}E_P$ is a nonzero element in

$$H^0(Y, R^0 \pi_*(X, \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes k+1}(E_P)) \otimes \omega_{X/Y}^{\otimes -k}|_{E_P} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(C_0)) \quad (198)$$

Therefore by **Lemma 4.1**, we have

$$k\omega_{X/Y} \cdot E_P - \deg(C_0) - \frac{1}{(2g-2)}\omega_{X/Y} \cdot E_P - \frac{k+1}{2g-2}\omega_{X/Y}^2 \leq 0 \quad (199)$$

Let $k \rightarrow +\infty$, by (165) (199), we see our Theorem is true. $\square$

References

- [L1] S.Lang: *Introduction to Arakelov Theory*, Springer-Verlag (1988).
- [SABK] C.Soulé, D.Abramovich, J.-F.Burnol, and J.Kramer: *Lectures on Arakelov Geometry*, Cambridge University Press (1992).
- [V1] P.Vojta: *Diophantine Inequalities and Arakelov Theory*, Appendix to *Introduction to Arakelov Theory*, S.Lang, Springer-Verlag (1988).
- [V2] P.Vojta: *Diophantine Approximations and Value Distribution Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1239, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [Z] Y.Zha: *A General Arithmetc Riemann-Roch Theorem*, Thesis at the University of Chicago (1998).